arXiv:1207.5702v1 [math.NT] 24 Jul 2012

From Galois module classes to Steinitz classes

Leon R. McCulloh

This article is a transcription of some handwritten notes of an informal report given by Leon R. McCulloh in Oberwolfach in February 2002.

Abstract

Let G be a finite group of order n and exponent e. Corresponding to a tame Galois extension L/K of number fields with an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \cong G$, one has associated the Galois module class $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{O}_K G}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ (resp., the Steinitz class $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L)$) in the class group $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K G)$ (resp., $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$). For fixed number field K, the set of Galois module (resp., Steinitz) classes realized in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K G)$ (resp., $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$) as L/K ranges over all tame Galois extensions with isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \cong G$ is denoted by $R(\mathcal{O}_K G)$ (resp., $R_t(\mathcal{O}_K, G)$). We show

Theorem a)

$$R_t(\mathcal{O}_K, G) \subseteq \prod_{m|e} \prod_{\substack{s \in G \\ |s|=m}} N(K(\bar{s})/K)^{\frac{n}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}}$$

unless the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are nontrivially cyclic, in which case

b)

$$R_t(\mathcal{O}_K, G)^2 \subseteq \prod_{m|e} \prod_{\substack{s \in G \\ |s|=m}} N(K(\bar{s})/K)^{\frac{n}{m}(m-1)}$$

where $N(K(\bar{s})/K) = N_{K(\bar{s})/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\bar{s})})) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Further explaining, $\bar{G}$ is the set of conjugacy classes of G endowed with a "cyclotomic" action of Ω ($= \mathrm{Gal}(K^c/K)$) via $\kappa : \Omega \to \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_e)/K) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^\times$ - explicitly, for $s \in G$ and corresponding $\bar{s} \in \bar{G}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, $s^\omega = s^{\kappa^{-1}(\omega)}$ and $\bar{s}^\omega = \overline{s^\omega}$, where $\zeta_e^\omega = \zeta_e^{\kappa(\omega)}$. Finally, $K(\bar{s}) = (K^c)^{\Omega_{\bar{s}}}$, where $\Omega_{\bar{s}}$ is the Ω-stabilizer of $\bar{s}$.

The result is obtained from a known inclusion of $R(\mathcal{O}_K G)$ via $\mathrm{res}_1^G : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K G) \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Let G be a finite group, $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ an algebraic number field and $\Omega = \Omega_K = \mathrm{Gal}(K^c/K)$, where $K^c \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is the algebraic closure of K.

Definition. If $w : \Omega \to \mathrm{Aut}\, G$ is a homomorphism, we denote by G_w the Ω-group G with Ω-action given by $s^\omega = s^{w(\omega)}$ for $s \in G_w$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

Let R_G be the virtual character ring of G. Then Ω acts on R_G naturally by

$$\chi^\omega(s) = \chi(s)^\omega \qquad \text{for } s \in G, \omega \in \Omega,$$

where χ is an absolutely irreducible character of G. Let $\mathbb{Q}R_G = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R_G$.

Definition. We define a $\mathbb{Q}$-pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathbb{Q}R_G \times \mathbb{Q}G \to \mathbb{Q}$ as follows.

a) If χ is a character of degree 1 and $s \in G$, then $\langle \chi, s\rangle$ is the rational number defined by $\chi(s) = e^{2\pi i \langle \chi, s\rangle}$, where $0 \leq \langle \chi, s\rangle < 1$.

   (Note: If G is abelian, then a) defines $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathbb{Q}R_G \times \mathbb{Q}G \to \mathbb{Q}$ by $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinear extension.)

b) If χ is any character of G, then $\langle \chi, s\rangle$ is defined by

$$\langle \chi, s\rangle = \langle \mathrm{res}^G_{\langle s\rangle} \chi, s\rangle.$$

   (Note: $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinearity extends this to the required pairing.)

Definition. We define the Stickelberger map $\Theta_G : \mathbb{Q}R_G \to \mathbb{Q}G$ by

$$\Theta_G(\chi) = \sum_{s\in G} \langle \chi, s\rangle s \quad \text{for } \chi \in \mathbb{Q}R_G.$$

Definition. The Stickelberger module $S_G \subseteq \mathbb{Z}G$ is given by

$$S_G = \Theta_G(R_G) \cap \mathbb{Z}G.$$

Definition. If χ is a character of G, then $\det \chi$ is a character of G of degree 1 (or a character of G^{ab}), where

$$(\det \chi)(s) = \det(T_\chi(s)),$$

where $T_\chi : G \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C})$ is any matrix representation affording χ. This extends to a $\mathbb{Z}$-homomorphism

$$\det : R_G \to \widehat{G^{\mathrm{ab}}} \quad \text{(the character group of } G^{\mathrm{ab}}).$$

We let $A_G = \ker(\det)$, so we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to A_G \to R_G \overset{\det}{\to} \widehat{G^{\mathrm{ab}}} \to 1.$$

Proposition 1. *If $\chi \in R_G$, then $\Theta_G(\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}G$ if and only if $\chi \in A_G$. In particular $\Theta_G(A_G) = S_G$.*

Proof. Let $\chi \in R_G$. Then $\Theta_G(\chi) = \sum_{s\in G} \langle \chi, s\rangle s \in \mathbb{Z}G$ if and only if $\langle \chi, s\rangle = \langle \mathrm{res}^G_{\langle s\rangle}\chi, s\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $s \in G$. For all $s \in G$ the representation $\mathrm{res}^G_{\langle s\rangle}\chi$ has a decomposition in representations of dimension 1, which correspond to characters $\psi_{s,1}, \dots, \psi_{s,n}$, where n is a positive integer. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(\det \chi)(s) = (\det \mathrm{res}^G_{\langle s\rangle}\chi)(s) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \psi_{s,j}(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i \langle \psi_{s,j}, s\rangle} \\ &= e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle \psi_{s,j}, s\rangle} = e^{2\pi i \langle \mathrm{res}^G_{\langle s\rangle}\chi, s\rangle}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\langle \mathrm{res}^G_{\langle s\rangle}\chi, s\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $s \in G$ if and only if $\chi \in \ker(\det) = A_G$. □

Proposition 2. *Let ρ_G be the character of the regular representation of G. Let $s \in G$, where s has order m ($|s| = m$) and $\#G = n$. Then*

$$\langle \rho_G, s\rangle = \frac{n}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}.$$

Proof. We compute

$$\langle \rho_G, s\rangle = \langle \mathrm{res}^G_{\langle s\rangle}\rho_G, s\rangle = \langle [G:\langle s\rangle]\rho_{\langle s\rangle}, s\rangle = \frac{n}{m}\langle \rho_{\langle s\rangle}, s\rangle.$$

Now, the characters of $\langle s\rangle$ are $\{\phi^j : j = 0, \dots, m-1\}$ where $\phi^j(s) = e^{2\pi i \frac{j}{m}}$, and $\langle \phi^j, s\rangle = \frac{j}{m}$; so

$$\langle \rho_{\langle s\rangle}, s\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\langle \phi^j, s\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\frac{j}{m} = \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{(m-1)m}{2}\right) = \frac{m-1}{2}.$$

Hence $\langle \rho_G, s\rangle = \frac{n}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}$. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let e be the exponent of G.*

$$\Theta_G(\rho_G) = \frac{n}{e}\sum_{m|e}\frac{e}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}\sum_{\substack{s\in G\\ |s|=m}} s = \sum_{m|e}\frac{n}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}\sum_{\substack{s\in G\\ |s|=m}} s.$$

Proof. Clear. □

Proposition 3. $\rho_G \in A_G$ $(= \ker(\det))$ *unless $n = \#G$ is even and the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are cyclic (and conversely).*

Proof. The regular representation of G is given by permutation matrices corresponding to the left regular permutation representation of G on G. Their determinants are $+1$ or -1 according as the corresponding permutations are even or odd. So

$$(\det \rho_G)(s) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if multiplication by } s \text{ is an even permutation of } G \\ -1 & \text{if multiplication by } s \text{ is an odd permutation of } G. \end{cases}$$

If s has order m, then the permutation of G given by multiplication by s decomposes into $\frac{n}{m} = [G:\langle s\rangle]$ cycles of length $m = |s|$. So for s to give an odd permutation, m must be even and $\frac{n}{m}$ odd. This happens only if n is even and $\langle s\rangle$ contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of G, that is the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are cyclic. (Conversely if $\langle s\rangle$ is the Sylow 2-subgroup of G, $s \neq 1$, then s gives an odd permutation of G). □

Note: In any case $2\rho_G \in A_G$.

Corollary 3.1. $\Theta_G(\rho_G) \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ *unless G has even order and the 2-Sylow subgroups are cyclic (and conversely).*

Proof. Clear from Proposition 1. □

Alternate proof. By Corollary 2.1, $\Theta_G(\rho_G) \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ unless G has an element s of order m, with $\frac{n}{m}(m-1)$ odd. That is $m = |s|$ is even and $\frac{n}{m} = [G:\langle s\rangle]$ is odd. As before, this happens if and only if $n = \#G$ is even and the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are cylic. □

Note: As before, $\Theta_G(2\rho_G) \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ in all cases.

Definition. Let e be the exponent of G and μ_e the group of e^{th} roots of 1. Let $\kappa : \Omega_K \to (\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^\times$ be the homomorphism defined via the cyclotomic character

$$\Omega_K \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(\mu_e)/K) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

That is, if $\zeta \in \mu_e$, $\zeta^\omega = \zeta^{\kappa(\omega)}$.

For each $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can define an "action" of Ω on G by permutations by

$$s^{\omega} = s^{\kappa^r(\omega)} \quad \text{for each } s \in G,\ \omega \in \Omega.$$

Then (by abuse of notation) we denote by G_{κ^r} the Ω-set G with the action given by $\kappa^r : \Omega \to (\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \to \mathrm{Perm}(G)$. Then $\mathbb{Z}G_{\kappa^r}$ is an Ω-module.

Proposition 4. *If $\chi \in R_G$ and $s \in G$, then*

$$\langle \chi^{\omega}, s\rangle = \langle \chi, s^{\kappa(\omega)}\rangle.$$

It follows that $\Theta_G : \mathbb{Q}R_G \to \mathbb{Q}G_{\kappa^{-1}}$ is an Ω-homomorphism. That is, $\Theta_G(\chi^{\omega}) = \Theta_G(\chi)^{\omega}$ ($\omega \in \Omega$ acting on G via κ^{-1}). (Note: $\kappa^{-1}(\omega) = \kappa(\omega)^{-1}$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$).

Proof. If χ is of degree 1, then $\chi(s) \in \mu_e$ (if $s \in G$). So $\chi^{\omega}(s) = (\chi(s))^{\omega} = \chi(s)^{\kappa(\omega)} = \chi(s^{\kappa(\omega)})$, so

$$e^{2\pi i\langle \chi^{\omega}, s\rangle} = e^{2\pi i \langle \chi, s^{\kappa(\omega)}\rangle} \quad \text{i.e. } \langle \chi^{\omega}, s\rangle = \langle \chi, s^{\kappa(\omega)}\rangle.$$

Hence by $\mathbb{Q}$-bilinearity, $\langle \chi^{\omega}, s\rangle = \langle \chi, s^{\kappa(\omega)}\rangle$ for all $\chi \in \mathbb{Q}R_G$, $s \in G$. Thus if $\chi \in \mathbb{Q}R_G$,

$$\Theta_G(\chi^{\omega}) = \sum_{s\in G}\langle \chi^{\omega}, s\rangle s = \sum_{s\in G}\langle \chi, s^{\kappa(\omega)}\rangle s = \sum_{s\in G}\langle \chi, s\rangle s^{\kappa^{-1}(\omega)} = \Theta_G(\chi)^{\omega}$$

if $\Theta_G(\chi)$ is regarded as an element of $\mathbb{Q}G_{\kappa^{-1}}$. □

Note that $\langle \chi, s\rangle$ depends only on the conjugacy class of $s \in G$ so $\Theta_G(R_G) \subseteq c(\mathbb{Q}G)$ (the center of $\mathbb{Q}G$, with basis the conjugacy class sums of G). Let $\overline{G}$ be the set of G-conjugacy classes of G. The action of Ω by κ^{-1} preserves conjugacy classes of G, so it gives actions on $c(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $\mathbb{Z}\overline{G}$, the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module on $\overline{G}$. We denote these Ω_K-modules by $c(\mathbb{Z}G_{\kappa^{-1}})$ and $\mathbb{Z}\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}$, respectively.

Definition. Let $\overline{s}$ be the conjugacy class of $s \in G$. Let

$$\iota : \mathbb{Z}\overline{G} \to c(\mathbb{Z}G)$$

be the map defined by $\iota(\overline{s}) = \sum_{t\in\overline{s}} t$ for all $\overline{s} \in \overline{G}$. Clearly ι commutes with the action of Ω by κ^{-1} so it is an Ω-module isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}\overline{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} c(\mathbb{Z}G).$$

Also, define $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathbb{Q}R_G \times \mathbb{Q}\overline{G} \to \mathbb{Q}$ by $\langle \chi, \overline{s}\rangle = \langle \chi, s\rangle$ for $s \in G$, $\chi \in R_G$ and

$$\Theta_{\overline{G}} : \mathbb{Q}R_G \to \mathbb{Q}\overline{G}$$

by $\Theta_{\overline{G}}(\chi) = \sum_{\overline{s}\in\overline{G}}\langle \chi, \overline{s}\rangle\overline{s}$.

Clearly $\iota\Theta_{\overline{G}} = \Theta_G$, and if $\chi \in R_G$,

$$\Theta_{\overline{G}}(\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}\overline{G} \iff \chi \in A_G.$$

Definition. Let $\overline{\Lambda}$ be the maximal $\mathcal{O}_K$-order of the commutative K-algebra

$$K\overline{\Lambda} := \mathrm{Map}_\Omega(\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, K^c).$$

Then $\overline{\Lambda} = \mathrm{Map}_\Omega(\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, \mathcal{O}^c)$ and we have the adèle ring $\mathbb{A}(K\overline{\Lambda})$ and idèle group $\mathbb{J}(K\overline{\Lambda})$ (where $\mathbb{A}(K)$ is the adèle ring of K) given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}(K\overline{\Lambda}) &= \mathrm{Map}_\Omega(\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, \mathbb{A}(K^c)) \quad (\mathbb{A}(K^c) = K^c \otimes_K \mathbb{A}(K)) \\ \mathbb{J}(K\overline{\Lambda}) &= \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z}\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, \mathbb{J}(K^c)) \quad (\mathbb{J}(K^c) = \mathbb{A}(K^c)^\times). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} (K\overline{\Lambda})^\times &= \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z}\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, (K^c)^\times) \\ \overline{\Lambda}^\times &= \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z}\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, (\mathcal{O}^c)^\times). \end{aligned}$$

The integral adèles of $\overline{\Lambda}$ are

$$\mathbb{A}(\overline{\Lambda}) = \mathrm{Map}_\Omega(\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O}^c))$$

where $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O}^c) = \mathcal{O}^c \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O}_K) = \prod_{v \text{ of } K} \mathcal{O}_{K_v}$ and the unit idèles of $\overline{\Lambda}$ are

$$\mathbb{U}(\overline{\Lambda}) = \mathbb{A}(\overline{\Lambda})^\times = \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z}\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O}^c)) \quad (\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O}^c) = \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O}^c)^\times).$$

Now the map $\Theta_{\overline{G}} : A_G \to \mathbb{Z}\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}$ induces a homomorphism

$$\Theta^t_{\overline{G}} : \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z}\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, \mathbb{J}(K^c)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c)). \tag{5}$$

Definition. Let $\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(K)G) := \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c))$ (and $\mathscr{H}(KG) := \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, K^{c\times})$).

Then the above map is

$$\Theta^t_{\overline{G}} : \mathbb{J}(K\overline{\Lambda}) \to \mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(K)G). \tag{5'}$$

Now recall the map

$$\mathrm{Det} : K^cG^\times \to \mathrm{Hom}(R_G, K^{c\times})$$

given by $\mathrm{Det}(\alpha) : \chi \mapsto \det(T_\chi(\alpha))$, where $T_\chi : G \to \mathrm{GL}_f(K^c)$ affords χ. (T_χ extends by linearity to a ring homomorphism $T_\chi : K^cG \to M_f(K^c)$ and then to $T_\chi : (K^cG)^\times \to \mathrm{GL}_f(K^c)$.)

Notice that Det is an Ω-homomorphism.

Proposition 6. *There is a commutative Ω-diagram (that is, all maps preserve the action of Ω) with exact rows:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & K^cG^\times \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & (K^cG)^\times/G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & G^{\mathrm{ab}} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(R_G, K^{c\times}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{rag}"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A_G, K^{c\times}) \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(Note that $(K^cG)^\times/G$ is not a group, but a pointed set.)

Proof. We identify here G^{ab} with $\mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{G^{\mathrm{ab}}}, K^{c\times})$. Since $K^{c\times}$ is injective, the bottom row is exact, arising from the exact sequence $0 \to A_G \to R_G \overset{\det}{\to} \widehat{G^{\mathrm{ab}}} \to 1$. If $s \in G$, $\mathrm{Det}(s)(\chi) = \det(\chi)(s)$, so Det restricted to G has image $\mathrm{Hom}(\widehat{G^{\mathrm{ab}}}, K^{c\times})$. Hence Det induces a map

$$\mathrm{Det} : (K^cG)^\times \to \mathrm{Hom}(A_G, K^{c\times})$$

making the diagram commute. ☐

Proposition 7. *We have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & KG^\times \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & ((K^cG)^\times/G)^\Omega \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega, G)/G \arrow[d] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & G^{\mathrm{ab}} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_G, K^{c\times}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{rag}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, K^{c\times}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega, G^{\mathrm{ab}}) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

with exact rows (where G acts on $\mathrm{Hom}(\Omega, G)$ on the right by inner automorphisms: $h \cdot s = s^{-1}hs, s \in G, h \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega, G)$).

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6 by applying Ω-cohomology (in the non-abelian sense for the top row). More precisely, exactness means that if $((K^cG)^\times/G)^\Omega = \mathscr{H}(KG)/G$, then $(KG)^\times \backslash \mathscr{H}(KG)/G$ is in one-one correspondence with $\mathrm{Hom}(\Omega, G)/G$. ☐

Now, similarly, if v is a prime of K, and $\Omega_v = \mathrm{Gal}(K_v^c/K_v)$, we have

Proposition 7′. *The following diagram with exact rows commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & K_vG^\times \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & ((K_v^cG)^\times/G)^{\Omega_v} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_v, G)/G \arrow[d] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & G^{\mathrm{ab}} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega_v}(R_G, K_v^{c\times}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{rag}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega_v}(A_G, K_v^{c\times}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_v, G^{\mathrm{ab}}) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now if $\mathcal{O}_v$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_v^c$) is the ring of integers of K_v (resp. K_v^c) then we likewise have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{O}_vG)^\times \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] & ((\mathcal{O}_v^cG)^\times/G)^{\Omega_v} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Det}"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega_v}(R_G, (\mathcal{O}_v^c)^\times) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{rag}"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega_v}(A_G, (\mathcal{O}_v^c)^\times)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8}$$

Definition. Let $\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O})G) = \prod_v \mathrm{Det}(((\mathcal{O}_v^cG)^\times/G)^{\Omega_v})$.

Proposition 9.

$$\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O})G) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O}^c)) \subseteq \mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(K)G).$$

Proof. The second inclusion is obvious since $\mathscr{H}(KG)$ was defined as $\mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c))$. For the first inclusion notice that (see [5, Remark 6.22])

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega_v}(A_G, (\mathcal{O}_v^c)^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, (\mathcal{O}^c)_v^\times),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_v \mathrm{Det}(((\mathcal{O}_v^c G)^\times/G)^{\Omega_v}) \subseteq \prod_v \mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega_v}(A_G, (\mathcal{O}_v^c)^\times) &= \prod_v \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, (\mathcal{O}^c)_v^\times) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \prod_v (\mathcal{O}^c)_v^\times) = \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O}^c)).\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition. Let

$$\mathrm{rag} : \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c)) = \mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(K)G)$$

be the map "restriction to A_G".

Proposition 10. *The map* rag *induces a homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Rag} : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}G) = \frac{\mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c))}{\mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_G, K^{c\times})\mathrm{Det}(\mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O}G))} \to \frac{\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(K)G)}{\mathscr{H}(KG)\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O})G)}.$$

Proof. We need only observe from (8) that the image under rag of $\prod_v \mathrm{Det}((\mathcal{O}_v G)^\times)$ is contained in $\prod_v \mathrm{Det}(((\mathcal{O}_v^c G)^\times/G)^{\Omega_v})$. □

Definition. Let Rag′ be the composite:

$$\mathrm{Rag}' : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}G) \to \frac{\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(K)G)}{\mathscr{H}(KG)\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O})G)\Theta_{\overline{G}}^t(\mathbb{J}(K\overline{\Lambda}))}.$$

In 1993, I (still) believe I proved

$$R(\mathcal{O}G) \subseteq \ker(\mathrm{Rag}'). \tag{11}$$

Proposition 12. *Let M be a locally free $\mathcal{O}G$-module and suppose the class $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}G}(M)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}G)$ is represented by $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c))$. Then the Steinitz class $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$ is represented by $f(\rho_G) = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G}} f(\chi)^{\chi(1)}$ in $\mathbb{J}(K)$. (Here, $\widehat{G}$ is the set of absolutely irreducible characters of G.)*

Proof. The group ring $\mathcal{O}[1]$ of the trivial subgroup $1 < G$ is $\mathcal{O}$ and the inclusion map $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}G$ induces the restriction map $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}G) \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}G}(M) \mapsto \mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$. By [3, Theorem 12, p. 63], if f represents $\mathrm{cl}_{\mathcal{O}G}(M)$, then $\mathrm{res}_1^G f \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_1, \mathbb{J}(K^c)) = \mathrm{Hom}(R_1, \mathbb{J}(K))$ (which can be identified with $\mathbb{J}(K)$ by $g \leftrightarrow g(\chi_0)$, where χ_0 is the trivial character of the trivial group 1.) But by th formula for res on p. 62 of [3], we have

$$(\mathrm{res}_1^G f)(\chi_0) = f(\mathrm{ind}_1^G \chi_0) = f(\rho_G).$$

But, finally, $\rho_G = \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{G}} \chi(1)\chi$, so $f(\rho_G) = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G}} f(\chi)^{\chi(1)}$, as required. □

Now, suppose $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c))$ represents a class in $R(\mathcal{O}G)$. Then, by (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{rag} f = g\Theta_{\overline{G}}^t(h), \text{ where} \\ &g \in \mathscr{H}(KG)\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O})G) \\ &h \in \mathbb{J}(K\overline{\Lambda}).\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\mathrm{rag} f(\rho_G) = f(\rho_G) \text{ if } \rho_G \in A_G$$

and, in any case,

$$\mathrm{rag} f(2\rho_G) = f(2\rho_G) = f(\rho_G)^2.$$

Likewise, by Proposition 9,

$$g \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, K^{c\times})\mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O}^c)),$$

so, since ρ_G is Ω-stable,

$$g(\rho_G) \in K^\times \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O}) \text{ if } \rho_G \in A_G$$

or

$$g(\rho_G)^2 \in K^\times \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O}).$$

Also

$$\Theta^t_{\overline{G}} h(\rho_G) = h(\Theta_{\overline{G}}(\rho_G))$$

or

$$\Theta^t_{\overline{G}} h(2\rho_G) = h(\Theta_{\overline{G}}(2\rho_G)).$$

Since $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{\mathbb{J}(K)}{K^\times \mathbb{U}(\mathcal{O})}$, it follows that $f(\rho_G)$ and $h(\Theta^t_{\overline{G}}(\rho_G))$ (or $f(2\rho_G)$ and $h(\Theta^t_{\overline{G}}(2\rho_G))$) represent the same class in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$.

Now, recall $h \in \mathbb{J}(K\overline{\Lambda}) = \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(\mathbb{Z}\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, \mathbb{J}(K^c))$ where $K\overline{\Lambda} = \mathrm{Map}_\Omega(\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, K^c)$.

Let S be a set of elements s of G whose conjugacy classes $\{\bar{s} | s \in G\}$ form a set of representatives of the Ω-orbits of $\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}$. For each $s \in S$, let $\Omega_{\bar{s}}$ be the Ω-stabilizer of $\bar{s}$, and $K(\bar{s}) = (K^c)^{\Omega_{\bar{s}}}$. Then

$$K\Lambda \cong \prod_{s \in S} K(\bar{s})$$

where, for each $s \in S$, the projection

$$K\Lambda = \mathrm{Map}_\Omega(\overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}}, K^c) \to K(\bar{s})$$

is given by evaluation at $\bar{s}$. Now, if s has order m, then Ω acts on s via $\kappa^{-1} : \Omega \to (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and the Ω-stabilizer Ω_s of s is a subgroup of $\Omega_{\bar{s}}$, so $K(\bar{s})$ is a subfield of $(K^c)^{\Omega_s} = K(\zeta_m)$, where ζ_m is an m-th root of 1.

Definition. Let $\mu : N_G(\langle s \rangle) \to (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ $(= \mathrm{Aut}\, \langle s \rangle)$ give the action of $N_G(\langle s \rangle)$ by conjugation on $\langle s \rangle$.

Then clearly $\langle s \rangle \cap \bar{s} = \{s^r | r \in \mu(N_G(\langle s \rangle))\}$, so $\omega \in \Omega$ stabilizes $\bar{s}$ if and only if $\kappa^{-1}(\omega) = r$ for some $r \in \mu(N_G(\langle s \rangle))$. Hence we have

$$\Omega_{\bar{s}}/\Omega_s \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_m)/K(\bar{s})) \cong \kappa(\Omega) \cap \mu(N_G(\langle s \rangle)) \tag{13}$$

and since $\Omega/\Omega_s \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_m)/K) \cong \kappa(\Omega)$ we have

$$\Omega/\Omega_{\bar{s}} \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\bar{s})/K) \cong \kappa(\Omega)/\kappa(\Omega) \cap \mu(N_G(\langle s \rangle)). \tag{14}$$

Also $\Omega/\Omega_{\bar{s}}$ is isomorphic as an Ω-set to the Ω-orbit $\Omega \cdot \bar{s}$ of $\bar{s}$. Recall that by Corollary 2.1,

$$\Theta_{\overline{G}}(\rho_G) = \sum_{m|e} \frac{n}{m} \frac{m-1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\bar{s} \in \overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}} \\ |s|=m}} \bar{s}.$$

Proposition 15. *For $h \in \mathbb{J}(K\overline{\Lambda})$, if $\rho_G \in A_G$, then*

$$h(\Theta_{\overline{G}}(\rho_G)) = \prod_{m|e} \left(\prod_{\substack{s \in S \\ |s|=m}} N_{K(\bar{s})/K}(h(\bar{s})) \right)^{\frac{n}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}}.$$

In any case,

$$h(\Theta_{\overline{G}}(2\rho_G)) = \prod_{m|e} \left(\prod_{\substack{s \in S \\ |s|=m}} N_{K(\bar{s})/K}(h(\bar{s})) \right)^{\frac{n}{m}(m-1)}.$$

Proof. We shall prove this for $\rho_G \in A_G$. (The general case will then also be clear.) Then

$$h(\Theta_{\overline{G}}(\rho_G)) = \prod_{m|e} \left(\prod_{\substack{\bar{s} \in \overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}} \\ |s|=m}} h(\bar{s}) \right)^{\frac{n}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}}.$$

We organize the inner product into G-orbits and put

$$\prod_{\substack{\bar{t} \in \overline{G}_{\kappa^{-1}} \\ |t|=m}} h(\bar{t}) = \prod_{\substack{s \in S \\ |s|=m}} \prod_{\bar{t} \in \Omega \cdot \bar{s}} h(\bar{t}).$$

Now $\Omega \cdot \bar{s} = \{\bar{s}^\omega | \omega \in \Omega/\Omega_{\bar{s}}\}$, so the inner product is

$$\prod_{\bar{t} \in \Omega \cdot \bar{s}} h(\bar{t}) = \prod_{\omega \in \Omega/\Omega_{\bar{s}}} h(\bar{s}^\omega) = \prod_{\omega \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(\bar{s})/K)} h(\bar{s})^\omega = N_{K(\bar{s})/K} h(\bar{s}).$$

(Note that $\bar{s}^\omega = \overline{s^{\kappa^1 \omega}}$.) ☐

Proposition 16. *If $\rho_G \in A_G$, then*

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O}, G) \subseteq \left(\prod_{m|e} \prod_{\substack{s \in S \\ |s|=m}} N_{K(\bar{s})/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\bar{s})}))^{\frac{e}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{n}{e}}.$$

In any case

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O}, G)^2 \subseteq \left(\prod_{m|e} \prod_{\substack{s \in S \\ |s|=m}} N_{K(\bar{s})/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\bar{s})}))^{\frac{e}{m}(m-1)} \right)^{\frac{n}{e}}.$$

Corollary 16.1. *If G is abelian of odd order or with noncyclic Sylow 2-subgroup, then*

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O}, G) \subseteq \left(\prod_{m|e} N_{K(\zeta_m)/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_m)}))^{\frac{e}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{n}{e}}.$$

Otherwise,

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O},G)^2 \subseteq \left(\prod_{m|e} N_{K(\zeta_m)/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\zeta_m)}))^{\frac{e}{m}(m-1)}\right)^{\frac{n}{e}}.$$

Proof. If G is abelian, then for all $s \in G$, $\{s\} = \bar{s}$. Then $\Omega_{\bar{s}} = \Omega_s$, so $K(\bar{s}) = K(\zeta_m)$, where $|s| = m$, by (13). Furthermore if $m|e$, there is an element in G of order m. The result follows. ☐

Note: If G is abelian of odd order, Endo shows equality in the corollary ([2, Chapter II, 1.4, p. 31]).

Definition. Let

$$c(e) = \gcd_{\substack{p|e \\ p \text{ prime}}} (p-1).$$

Corollary 16.2. *Suppose G is abelian and K contains the e-th roots of 1. If $|G|$ is odd or $S_2(G)$ is noncyclic then*

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O},G) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}\frac{c(e)}{2}}.$$

If $S_2(G)$ is nontrivial cyclic, then

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O},G) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}c(e)} \ (= \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}))^{\frac{n}{e}}.$$

Proof. If $|G|$ is odd and $S_2(G)$ is noncyclic, then by Corollary 16.1,

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O},G) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{2e}\gcd_{m|e}\frac{e}{m}(m-1)}.$$

If $S_2(G)$ is cyclic nontrivial, then

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O},G)^2 \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}\gcd_{m|e}\frac{e}{m}(m-1)}.$$

Claim: $\gcd_{m|e}\frac{e}{m}(m-1) = c(e)$. First note that if $p^r||e$, then $p \nmid \frac{e}{p^r}(p^r-1)$, so no prime factor of e divides the given "gcd". Hence $\gcd_{m|e}\frac{e}{m}(m-1) = \gcd_{m|e}(m-1)$. Clearly $\gcd_{m|e}(m-1)|c(e)$. Also, clearly if $m|e$, then $c(e)|c(m)$. It suffices to show that $c(m)|(m-1)$. We show this by induction. (Note that $c(1) = 0$, also if p is prime, $c(p) = p-1$.) Suppose the assertion true for all numbers less than m (> 1). If $p|m$, then $m-1 = p\left(\frac{m}{p}-1\right) + p - 1$, and by induction, $c(m)|c\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) \mid \left(\frac{m}{p}-1\right)$. Clearly $c(m)|p-1$, so $c(m)|m-1$. Hence the first assertion holds. Similarly it follows that if $S_2(G)$ is cyclic nontrivial, then

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O},G)^2 \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}\gcd_{m|e}\frac{e}{m}(m-1)} = \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}c(e)} = \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}}.$$

But since $S_2(G)$ is cyclic, $2 \nmid \frac{n}{e}$, so $\frac{n}{e}$ is odd. Hence $[\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}}]$ is odd. Then, clearly,

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O},G) \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}}.$$

☐

Remark. To compare the results with Long's note that he defines

$$d(e) = \begin{cases} \gcd_{p|e} \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{if } e \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } e \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$c(e) = \begin{cases} 2d(e) & \text{if } e \text{ is odd} \\ d(e) = 1 & \text{if } e \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Using this notation our Corollary 16.2 becomes

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O}, G) \subseteq \begin{cases} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}d(e)} & \text{if } |G| \text{ is odd} \\ \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{2e}} & \text{if } S_2(G) \text{ is noncyclic} \\ \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}} & \text{if } S_2(G) \text{ is cyclic nontrivial.} \end{cases}$$

Long [4, Chapter I, Thm 3, p. 15] obtains the following

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O}, G) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}d(e)} & \text{if } |G| \text{ is odd} \\ \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{2e}} & \text{if } S_2(G) \text{ is noncyclic and the highest elementary divisor} \\ & \text{occurs at least two times in } S_2(G) \\ \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}} & \text{if } S_2(G) \text{ is noncyclic and the highest elementary divisor} \\ & \text{occurs only once} \\ \mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})^{\frac{n}{e}} & \text{if } S_2(G) \text{ is nontrivial cyclic.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the upper bound of Corollary 16.2 is actually attained except in the case where $S_2(G)$ is noncyclic, but the highest elementary divisor occurs only once. This shows that, in general, the use of (11), even in the abelian case (where equality holds) will not immediately give an exact answer for $\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O}, G)$. The difficulty, apparently, is that if $h \in \mathbb{J}(K\overline{\Lambda})$, then the coset $\mathscr{H}(KG)\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{O})G)\Theta_{\frac{t}{G}}(h)$ in $\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{A}(KG))$ may be disjoint from the image of $\mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c))$ under the map

$$\mathrm{rag} : \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(R_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Omega(A_G, \mathbb{J}(K^c)).$$

This leads me to believe the problem has to do with Grunwald-Wang phenomena.

One situation in which the upper bound of Proposition 16 is exact is for metacyclic groups. In particular if

$$G = \langle s, t | s^p = t^q = 1, tst^{-1} = s^r \rangle \ (p \text{ and } q \text{ odd primes}),$$

where $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ has order q, we have

Corollary 16.3. *Suppose K and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{pq})$ are linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $F = K(\zeta_q)$ and L/K be the subextension of $K(\zeta_p)/K$ of codegree q. Then*

$$\mathrm{R}_t(\mathcal{O}, G) \subseteq N_{F/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_F))^{p^{\frac{(q-1)}{2}}} N_{L/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_L))^{q^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}.$$

Proof. Consider $\bar{t}$. Since $\langle t \rangle = N_G(\langle t \rangle)$ it follows that $\mu : N_G(\langle t \rangle) \to (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is trivial. Also, since $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$ and K are linearly disjoint, $\kappa(\Omega) = (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$, so by (14)

$$\Omega/\Omega_{\bar{t}} \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\bar{t})/K) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times,$$

so $K(\bar{t}) = K(\zeta_q) = F$. Since $n = e = pq$ and $m = |t| = q$, it follows that the corresponding factor in the statement of Proposition 16 is

$$N_{K(\bar{t})/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K(\bar{t})}))^{\frac{e}{m}\frac{m-1}{2}} = N_{F/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_F))^{p\frac{q-1}{2}}.$$

The same factor clearly arises for all elements of order q.

Now consider $\bar{s}$. $N_G(\langle s\rangle) = G$, and clearly $\mu(G)$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is generated by r and so has order q. Again, linear disjointness implies $\kappa(\Omega) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, so (14) gives

$$\Omega/\Omega_{\bar{s}} \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K(\bar{s})/K) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times/\langle r\rangle.$$

Then $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_p)/K(\bar{s})) \cong \langle r\rangle$, so $K(\bar{s}) = L$, and the corresponding factor for $\bar{s}$ is

$$N_{L/K}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_L))^{q\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

Again, the same holds for other elements of order p, and since there are no elements of order pq, the Corollary is proved. ☐

Remark. The same approach with a bit more effort gives Endo's results ([2], of course again Endo's results are exact and Proposition 16 only gives the upper bound) for metacyclic groups of order qp^a, where q is not necessarily prime, but p and q are odd. (Here s has order p^a, t has order $q|p-1$, not necessarily prime, but odd, and $tst^{-1} = s^r$, where r in $(\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z})^\times$ has order q.)

A Appendix: comparison of $K(\bar{s})$ and $E_{K,G,s}$

In this appendix, we show that McCulloh's $K(\bar{s})$ coincides with the extension $E_{K,G,s}$ defined in [1]. As a consequence, Proposition 16 of this report will be shown to be a slightly weaker form of [1, Theorem 2.10].
By definition $K(\bar{s}) = (K^c)^{\Omega_{\bar{s}}}$, where

$$\Omega_{\bar{s}} = \mathrm{Stab}_\Omega \bar{s} = \{\omega \in \Omega :\ \omega(\bar{s}) = \bar{s}\} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \exists t \in G\ :\ \omega(s) = tst^{-1}\}.$$

We recall that the action is defined (on s and hence on $\bar{s}$) by $\omega(s) = s^{\kappa^{-1}(\omega)} = s^{\kappa(\omega^{-1})}$, where $\kappa : \Omega \to (\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is the cyclotomic character and e is the exponent of G. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\bar{s}} \supseteq \{\omega \in \Omega :\ \omega(s) = s\} &= \mathrm{Stab}_\Omega s = \{\omega \in \Omega :\ \kappa(\omega^{-1}) \equiv 1 \pmod{o(s)}\}\\ &= \{\omega \in \Omega :\ \omega(\zeta_{o(s)}) = \zeta_{o(s)}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$K(\bar{s}) \subseteq (K^c)^{\mathrm{Stab}_\Omega s} = K(\zeta_{o(s)}).$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_{o(s)})/K(\bar{s})) &= \mathrm{Gal}(K^c/K(\bar{s}))/\mathrm{Gal}(K^c/K(\zeta_{o(s)})) = \mathrm{Stab}_\Omega\bar{s}/\mathrm{Stab}_\Omega s\\ &= \mathrm{Stab}_\Omega\bar{s}|_{K(\zeta_{o(s)})}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by definition, $E_{K,G,s}/K$ is the subextension of $K(\zeta_{o(s)})/K$ fixed by the subgroup $\nu_{K,s}^{-1}(\mu_s(N_G(s)))$ of $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_{o(s)})/K)$. Here $N_G(s)$ is the normalizer of s in G, $\mu : N_G(s) \to (\mathbb{Z}/o(s)\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is defined by $tst^{-1} = s^{\mu_s(t)}$ for $t \in N_G(s)$ and

$\nu_{K,s}: \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_{o(s)})/K) \to (\mathbb{Z}/o(s)\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is the cyclotomic character (note that here -1 denotes a counterimage and not a multiplicative inverse as for κ^{-1}). In particular

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_{o(s)})/E_{K,G,s}) = \nu_{K,s}^{-1}(\mu_s(N_G(s))).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Stab}_\Omega \bar{s}|_{K(\zeta_{o(s)})} &= \{\omega \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_{o(s)})/K) \mid \exists\, t \in N_G(s) \ : \ \omega(s) = tst^{-1} = s^{\mu_s(t)}\} \\ &= \{\omega \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_{o(s)})/K) \mid \exists\, t \in N_G(s) \ : \ \nu_{K,s}(\omega^{-1}) = \mu_s(t)\} \\ &= \{\omega \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_{o(s)})/K) \mid \exists\, t \in N_G(s) \ : \ \nu_{K,s}(\omega) = \mu_s(t^{-1})\} \\ &= \{\omega \in \mathrm{Gal}(K(\zeta_{o(s)})/K) \mid \exists\, t \in N_G(s) \ : \ \nu_{K,s}(\omega) = \mu_s(t)\} \\ &= \nu_{K,s}^{-1}(\mu_s(N_G(s))).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$K(\bar{s}) = E_{K,G,s}.$$

It is now clear that, in the case of groups of odd order or with non-cyclic 2-Sylow subgroup, Proposition 16 of this report is equivalent to the inclusion of [1, Theorem 2.10], namely

$$\mathrm{R}_t(K,G) \subseteq \mathcal{W}(K,G),$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}(K,G) = \prod_{\tau \in G^*} W(k, E_{k,G,\tau})^{\frac{o(\tau)-1}{2}\frac{\#G}{o(\tau)}} = \prod_{\ell \mid \#G} \prod_{\sigma \in G\{\ell\}^*} W(k, E_{k,G,\sigma})^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}\frac{\#G}{o(\sigma)}} \subseteq \mathrm{Cl}(k)$$

and

$$W(k, E_{k,G,\tau}) = N_{E_{k,G,\tau}/k}\mathrm{Cl}(E_{k,G,\tau}).$$

References

[1] L. Caputo and A. Cobbe. An explicit candidate for the set of Steinitz classes of tame Galois extensions with fixed Galois group of odd order. *arXiv:1111.1850.*

[2] L. P. Endo. *Steinitz classes of tamely ramified Galois extensions of algebraic number fields.* PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1975.

[3] A. Fröhlich. *Galois module structure of algebraic integers*, volume 1 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)].* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[4] R. L. Long. *Steinitz classes of relative Galois extensions.* PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1970.

[5] L. R. McCulloh. Galois module structure of abelian extensions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 375/376:259–306, 1987.

Transcription and Appendix A by L. Caputo and A. Cobbe